

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,052	BOKSTROM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Arnold Krumholz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2005

Time: 9am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant that claim 23 was not enabled by the specification. In particular claim 23 only required one pressure sensor and one fan in either the reaction vessel or shredding vessel. The limitation noted in claim 23 of "regulating the gas pressure in said pulp shredding vessel and the gas pressure in said reaction vessel so that ozone gas is prevented from leaking upstream through said outlet pipe" requires a sensor and fan means in both vessels to provide the claimed prevention of leaking ozone. Applicant agreed to amend claim 23 as noted in the examiner's amendment to remedy the noted problem. Additionally, applicant agreed to insert " means" after the word "fan", in order to provide antecedent basis. Applicant also submitted formal drawings for figures 1-3..